

Securing TPM Secrets with TXT and Kernel Signatures

Paul Moore
paul@paul-moore.com
August 2019

Disclaimers

This talk is not about secure boot, although I will talk about the related technologies a lot.

This talk is about protecting secrets stored in the TPM NVRAM, not restricting what can be booted on the system.

This talk focuses on Intel's TXT, AMD's SVM should provide similar capabilities.

The Problem

Problem Statement

- Store secrets in the TPM
- Restrict access to the secrets to authorized kernels
- Work on legacy BIOS as well as UEFI based systems
- Easy to manage, handle updates gracefully

Protecting TPM Secrets

- “Seal” data to a set of PCRs
 - A specific set of PCR values are used as a key to lock/unlock TPM secrets
- TPM protects PCRs from tampering

Setting TPM PCRs in Early Boot

- Secure boot measures system state into the PCRs
 - firmware / config
 - bootloader, etc.
 - kernel
- When the components change, the PCR values change

Detour: Secure Boot

UEFI Secure Boot

- UEFI verifies signature of everything it executes
 - static root of trust
 - public key embedded in firmware
 - Microsoft controls master keys
- PCR 7 measures the kernel's signing authority
 - stable across multiple kernels with the same signer

Intel Trusted Execution Technology (TXT)

- Hardware and firmware creates a dynamic root of trust
 - “SINIT ACM”
- TXT “measured launch environment” verifies and bootstraps the kernel
 - tboot bootloader
- PCRs measuring the OS are based on hashes
 - not a stable base for TPM sealing

Solving The Problem

Applying Secure Boot to the Problem

- UEFI Secure Boot
 - stable PCR
 - only works on modern UEFI systems
- Intel TXT
 - unstable PCRs
 - works on legacy BIOS and all UEFI systems

Lessons Learned

- Signature based PCRs are stable assuming the same signing authority
- TXT works regardless of the firmware type (legacy/UEFI)
- TXT verification of the kernel/initrd/cmdline happens in the tboot bootloader

Proposed Solution (TXT/sig)

- “Extend” tboot to support signature verification using the PECOFF format
 - same format as UEFI Secure Boot
 - add the signing authority to the tboot policy
- No changes to SINIT ACM required

Open Issues

- No signature verification of the initrd or command line
 - problem for UEFI too
 - may be able to use UEFI workarounds
 - existing digest verification OK
- Unknown upstream acceptance of the tboot changes
 - prototype is still a work in progress

Current Status

- Modifications to tboot are ongoing
 - tboot verifies the PECOFF digests, but signature is failing
 - expect success soon (LSS-EU or sooner)
- Licenses and early boot environment challenges

Questions?

- Mail: paul@paul-moore.com
- Twitter: [@securepaul](https://twitter.com/securepaul)

